

# SITE OF CARE GUIDELINES

## Elective Surgical and Endoscopic Procedures

Version 1.0, 2024  
Effective January 1, 2025



## SITE OF CARE: Elective Surgical and Endoscopic Procedures

### SOC-1.0: General Information

- This guideline pertains to Elective Surgical and Endoscopic Procedures.
- The purpose of this guideline is to increase access to alternative sites of care for individuals and to decrease health care costs by optimizing non-hospital facilities as a safe and practical option for elective surgical or endoscopic procedures.
- Procedures must meet applicable medical necessity criteria for coverage.
- When coverage criteria are met for the procedure, this policy will be used to determine medical necessity if a hospital outpatient department (HOPD) or inpatient hospital facility is requested.
- For the purposes of this document, sites of service for surgical/endoscopic procedures include the following Place of Service (POS) codes.
  - ◆ 19: Off-campus Outpatient Hospital
  - ◆ 21: Inpatient Hospital
  - ◆ 22: On-Campus Outpatient Hospital (HOPD)
  - ◆ 24: Ambulatory Surgical Center (ASC)

### SOC-1.1: Administrative Directives

On-Campus Outpatient Hospital (HOPD) (22) or Inpatient Hospital (21) may be considered medically necessary for any of the following:

- ◆ Where there are no other geographically accessible appropriate alternative sites for the member to undergo the procedure. This would include the availability of specialized medical resources such as unique staffing and/or equipment at the alternative sites (e.g., endoscopic stents, fluoroscopy, specialized orthopedic tools, implants, etc.).
- ◆ When there is no alternative site available at which the individual's physician has privileges.
- ◆ When the pre-procedure assessment determines that the individual requires overnight recovery and care following the procedure.
- ◆ If the procedure is expected to be immediately followed by inpatient hospitalization.
- ◆ The procedure is anticipated to be prolonged (> 3 hours).

## **SOC-1.2: Condition-specific Guidelines**

- The On-Campus Outpatient Hospital (HOPD) (22) or Inpatient Hospital (21) will be deemed medically necessary if any of the following criteria are met:
  - ◆ American Society of Anesthesiology class III or greater (severe systemic disease impairing function)
  - ◆ The procedure requires discontinuing medications (e.g. antiarrhythmics, anti-seizure medication), which necessitate preoperative or postoperative inpatient monitoring or treatment.
  - ◆ The individual is using drugs or medications that may interact with the anticipated anesthetic regimen (e.g. cocaine, amphetamines, monoamine oxidase inhibitor) that would result in the need for longer postoperative monitoring or treatment.
  - ◆ History of any of the following pulmonary conditions that would increase risk:
    - Abnormal airway
    - Prior difficult intubation
    - Poorly or uncontrolled asthma (i.e., FEV1 < 80% despite medical management)
    - Severe chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) (i.e., FEV1 < 50%)
    - Dependent on a ventilator or continuous supplemental oxygen
  - ◆ History of any of the following gastrointestinal conditions that would increase risk for aspiration:
    - Documented history of achalasia
    - Documented history of delayed gastric emptying disorder or gastroparesis
  - ◆ History of any of the following cardiovascular conditions that would increase risk:
    - Implanted cardioverter-defibrillator
    - Implanted pacemaker
    - Myocardial infarction (MI) within three (3) months of the scheduled procedure
    - Recent coronary intervention (e.g. angioplasty within 3 months, bare metal stents placed within 3 months, drug eluting stents placed within one year)
    - Moderate or severe valvular disease and/or cardiomyopathy
    - Severe hypertension (HTN) (e.g., BP > 180/110)
    - Resistant or poorly controlled hypertension (not responsive to 3 antihypertensive agents)
    - Symptomatic/unstable cardiac arrhythmia despite medication
    - Unstable or severe angina [Canadian Class III or IV], uncompensated chronic heart failure [CHF] [NYHA class III or IV]
  - ◆ History of any of the following neurological diagnoses that would increase risk:
    - Active multiple sclerosis
    - Cerebrovascular accident (CVA) or transient ischemic attack (TIA) within the last three (3) months
    - Myasthenia gravis
    - Preexisting cognitive dysfunction (e.g., Alzheimer's disease, dementia)
    - Severe motor disorder (e.g. severe Parkinson's, or other severe neurological dysfunction)
    - A condition is present that will require the use of restraints

- ◆ Any of the following other conditions/comorbidities:
  - Advanced liver disease (e.g., MELD score >8 [Model for End-stage Liver Disease] or on the list for a liver transplant)
  - Age < 18 years
  - Current or recent history of substance use disorder (e.g., alcohol and/or drug abuse)
  - End stage renal disease (ESRD),(as evidenced by hyperkalemia above reference range, or receiving peritoneal or hemodialysis)
  - History of a significant hemodynamic instability during a prior surgical procedure and is considered a risk for future procedures
  - History of malignant hyperthermia
  - Individual is awaiting major organ transplant (e.g., heart, liver, lung)
  - Moderate to severe Obstructive Sleep Apnea  
Severity defined as:     Moderate for AHI or RDI  $\geq 15$  and  $\leq 30$ .  
                                  Severe for AHI or RDI  $> 30$ /hr.
  - Morbid obesity (BMI  $\geq 40$ )
  - Pregnancy
  - Sickle cell disease
  - Bleeding disorder requiring replacement factor or blood products or special infusion products to correct a coagulation defect
  - Uncontrolled/difficult to control diabetes manifested by predominant hyperglycemia with recurrent diabetic ketoacidosis, or predominant hypoglycemia with recurrent severe hypoglycemia

## Practice Notes

- Diabetic Issues
  - ◆ In chronically poorly controlled diabetic patients, the decision to proceed with ambulatory surgery should be made in conjunction with the surgeon or endoscopist while taking into consideration the presence of other comorbidities and the potential risks of the planned procedure.
  - ◆ The Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia Consensus issued a guideline statement “Perioperative Blood Glucose Management in Diabetic Patients Undergoing Ambulatory Surgery” (Joshi, et al., 2010). Within this guideline the authors report the following:
    - The American Diabetes Association (ADA) recommends that outpatient management of diabetes should ideally include a combination of a target hemoglobin HgA1c 7% (normal 4%–7%), a preprandial blood glucose level of 90 to 130 mg/dL and a peak postprandial blood glucose level of 180 g/dL (this has not been verified in the ambulatory surgical population).
    - There is no evidence in the literature that any particular blood glucose level is either beneficial or harmful for patients undergoing ambulatory surgical procedures.

➤ Sleep Apnea

- ◆ In “Preoperative Selection of Adult Patients with Obstructive Sleep Apnea Scheduled for Ambulatory Surgery” (Joshi, et al., 2012) the authors note:
  - Individuals with a known diagnosis of OSA and optimized comorbid medical conditions can be considered for ambulatory surgery, if they are able to use a continuous positive airway pressure device in the postoperative period.
  - Individuals with a presumed diagnosis of OSA, based on screening tools such as the STOP–Bang questionnaire, and with optimized comorbid conditions, can be considered for ambulatory surgery, if postoperative pain can be managed predominantly with non-opioid analgesic techniques.
  - Individuals diagnosed with OSA with non-optimized comorbid medical conditions are not considered good candidates for ambulatory surgery.

➤ American Society of Anesthesiology Physical Status Classification

- ◆ The American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) physical status classification system was developed to offer clinicians a simple categorization of a patient’s physiological status that can be helpful in predicting operative risk. The ASA score is a subjective assessment of a patient’s overall health that is based on five classes.
  - ASA I: A normal healthy patient
  - ASA II: A patient with mild systemic disease
  - ASA III: A patient with severe systemic disease (E.g., Substantive functional limitations; One or more moderate to severe diseases. Poorly controlled DM or HTN, COPD, morbid obesity (BMI ≥40), active hepatitis, alcohol dependence or abuse, implanted pacemaker, moderate reduction of ejection fraction, ESRD undergoing regularly scheduled dialysis, history (>3 months) of MI, CVA, TIA, or CAD/stents)
  - ASA IV: A patient with severe systemic disease that is a constant threat to life (E.g. Recent (<3 months) MI, CVA, TIA or CAD/stents, ongoing cardiac ischemia or severe valve dysfunction, severe reduction of ejection fraction, shock, sepsis, DIC, ARD or ESRD).
  - ASA V: A moribund patient who is not expected to survive without the operation
  - ASA VI: A declared brain-dead patient whose organs are being removed for donor purposes
- ◆ **The American College of Surgeons (ACS):** The ACS Revised statement on “Patient Safety Principles for Office-based Surgery Utilizing Moderate Sedation/Analgesia” supports the use of the ASA Physical Status Classification System for selection criteria in the office-based/ambulatory surgical setting. The ACS supports that ASA III and above patients should undergo surgical procedures in an accredited surgical center (ACS, 2019).

## REFERENCES

1. American College of Surgeons (ACS). Statement on patient safety principles for office based surgery utilizing moderate sedation/analgesia, deep sedation/analgesia, or general anesthesia. Bulletin from the ACS, Vol 89, No. 4, April 2004.
2. American College of Surgeons (ACS). Revised statement on patient safety principles for office-based surgery utilizing moderate sedation/analgesia. Published September 1, 2019.
3. American Society of Anesthesiologists Committee on Standards and Practice Parameters. Practice alert for the perioperative management of patients with coronary artery stents: a report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Committee on Standards and Practice Parameters. *Anesthesiology*. 2009 Jan;110(1):22-3.
4. Barak M, Ben-Abraham R, Katz Y. ACC/AHA guidelines for preoperative cardiovascular evaluation for noncardiac surgery: a critical point of view. *Clin Cardiol*. 2006 May;29(5):195-8.
5. Butz S. Pediatric Ambulatory Anesthesia Challenges. *Anesthesiology Clin* 37 (2019) 289–300.
6. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS). Ambulatory surgical center payment system. ICN 006819 January 2019. Accessed Jan 2 2020. Available at URL address: <https://www.cms.gov/Outreach-and-Education/Medicare-Learning-Network-MLN/MLNProducts/downloads/AmbSurgCtrFeepymfctsh508-09.pdf>
7. Cote CJ, Wilson S, American Academy of Pediatrics and American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry. Guidelines for Monitoring and Management of Pediatric Patients Before, During, and After Sedation for Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures: Update 2016. *Pediatrics* Jul 2016, 138(1) e20161212.
8. Duggan EW, Carlson K, Umpierrez GE. Perioperative hyperglycemia management. *Anesthesiology* 2017; 126:547-60.
9. Fleisher LA, Fleischmann KE, Auerbach AD, et al. 2014 ACC/AHA guideline on perioperative cardiovascular evaluation and management of patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines. *Circulation*. 2014 Dec 9;130(24):2215-45.
10. Fleisher LA, Pasternak LR, Lyles A. A novel index of elevated risk of inpatient hospital admission immediately following outpatient surgery. *Arch Surg*. 2007 Mar;142(3):263-8.
11. Joshi GP, Ankichetty SP, Gan TJ, Chung F. Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia consensus statement on preoperative selection of adult patients with obstructive sleep apnea scheduled for ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg*. 2012 Nov;115(5):1060-8.

12. Joshi GP, Chung F, Vann MA, et al. Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia consensus statement on perioperative blood glucose management in diabetic patients undergoing ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg*. 2010 Dec;111(6):1378-87.
13. Mathis MR, Naughton NN, Shanks AM, Freundlich RE, Pannucci CJ, Chu Y, Haus J, Morris M, Kheterpal S. Patient selection for day case-eligible surgery: identifying those at high risk for major complications. *Anesthesiology*. 2013 Dec;119(6):1310-21.
14. Norwitz ER, Park JS, Snegovskikh D, et al. Management of the pregnant patient undergoing nonobstetric surgery. *UptoDate*. Dec 2019. ©2020 UpToDate, Inc.
15. Statistical Brief #223. Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project (HCUP). April 2018. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. Accessed December 17, 2019. Available at URL address: [www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb223-Ambulatory-Inpatient-Surgeries-2014.jsp](http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb223-Ambulatory-Inpatient-Surgeries-2014.jsp)
16. Wier LM (Truven Health Analytics), Steiner CA (AHRQ), Owens PL (AHRQ). Surgeries in Hospital-Owned Outpatient Facilities, 2012. HCUP Statistical Brief #188. February 2015. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Rockville, MD. Accessed December 30, 2019. Available at URL address: <http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb188-Surgeries-Hospital-Outpatient-Facilities-2012.pdf>
17. Whippey A, Kostandoff G, Paul J, Ma J, Thabane L, Ma HK. Predictors of unanticipated admission following ambulatory surgery: a retrospective case-control study. *Can J Anaesth*. 2013 Jul;60(7):675
18. Adamson T, Godil SS, Mehrlich M, et al. Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion in the outpatient ambulatory surgery setting compared with the inpatient hospital setting: analysis of 1000 consecutive cases. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2016; 24(6):878-884
19. Adamson T, Godil SS, Mehrlich M, et al. Anterior cervical discectomy and fusion in the outpatient ambulatory surgery setting compared with the inpatient hospital setting: analysis of 1000 consecutive cases. *J Neurosurg Spine*. 2016; 24(6):878-884. 18. Agostoni M, Fanti L, Gemma M, et al. Adverse events during monitored anesthesia care for GI endoscopy: an 8- year experience. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2011; 74(2):266-275.
20. Amoils M, Chang KW, Saynina O, et al. Postoperative complications in pediatric tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy in ambulatory vs inpatient settings. *JAMA Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg*. 2016; 142(4):344-350.
21. Berzin TM, Sanaka S, Barnett SR, et al. A prospective assessment of sedation-related adverse events and patient and endoscopist satisfaction in ERCP with anesthesiologist-administered sedation. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2011; 73(4):710-717.
22. Borgaonkar MR, Hookey L, Hollingworth R, et al. Indicators of safety compromise in gastrointestinal endoscopy. *Can J Gastroenterol*. 2012; 26(2):71-78.

23. Coté GA, Hovis RM, Ansstas MA, et al. Incidence of sedation-related complications with propofol use during advanced endoscopic procedures. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol*. 2010; 8(2):137-142.
24. Enestvedt BK, Eisen GM, Holub J, Lieberman DA. Is the American Society of Anesthesiologists classification useful in risk stratification for endoscopic procedures? *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2013; 77(3):464-471.
25. Everhart JE, Ruhl CE. Burden of digestive diseases in the United States part I: overall and upper gastrointestinal diseases. *Gastroenterology*. 2009; 136(2):376-386.
26. Fox JP, Burkardt DD, Ranasinghe I, Gross CP. Hospital-based acute care after outpatient colonoscopy: implications for quality measurement in the ambulatory setting. *Med Care*. 2014; 52(9):801-808.
27. Fox JP, Vashi AA, Ross JS, Gross CP. Hospital-based, acute care after ambulatory surgery center discharge. *Surgery*. 2014; 155(5):743-753.
28. Hackett NJ, De Oliveira GS, Jain UK, Kim JY. ASA class is a reliable independent predictor of medical complications and mortality following surgery. *Int J Surg*. 2015; 18:184-190.
29. Hung A, Marshall J, Barnett S, et al. Risk factors and outcomes of reversal agent use in moderate sedation during endoscopy and colonoscopy. *J Clin Gastroenterol*. 2016; 50(3):e25-e29.
30. Hirsch BP, Khechen B, Patel DV, et al. Safety and efficacy of revision minimally invasive lumbar decompression in the ambulatory setting. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*. 2019; 44(8):E494-E499.
31. Peery AF, Dellon ES, Lund J, et al. Burden of gastrointestinal disease in the United States: 2012 update. *Gastroenterology*. 2012; 143(5):1179-1187.
32. Vertosick EA, Assel M, Tokita HK, et al. Suitability of outpatient or ambulatory extended recovery cancer surgeries for obese patients. *J Clin Anesth*. 2019; 58:111-116. [Epub ahead of print].
- 33.** Whippey A, Kostandoff G, Paul J, et al. Predictors of unanticipated admission following ambulatory surgery: a retrospective case-control study. *Can J Anaesth*. 2013; 60(7):675-683.
34. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Surgeries in hospital-based ambulatory surgery and hospital inpatient settings. 2014. Available at: <https://hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb223-Ambulatory-InpatientSurgeries-2014.jsp>. Accessed on July 08, 2019.
35. Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ). Surgeries in hospital-owned outpatient facilities, 2012. 2015. For additional information visit the AHRQ website: <http://www.hcup-us.ahrq.gov/reports/statbriefs/sb188-Surgeries-Hospital-Outpatient-Facilities-2012.pdf>. Accessed on July 08, 2019.



36. AHRQ. Safety program for ambulatory surgery. 2017. Available at: <http://www.ahrq.gov/professionals/qualitypatient-safety/hais/tools/ambulatory-surgery/index.html>. Accessed on July 08, 2019.
37. American Academy of Otolaryngology-Head and Neck Surgery (AAO-HNS). Position statement: ambulatory procedures. Updated March 20, 2016. Available at <https://www.entnet.org/content/position-statements>. Accessed on July 08, 2019.
38. American Academy of Pediatrics, American Academy of Pediatric Dentistry, Charles J. Coté, Stephen Wilson; The work group on sedation guidelines for monitoring and management of pediatric patients during and after sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures: an update. *Pediatrics*. 2006; 118(6):2587-2602. Reaffirmed 2011.
39. American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. ASGE guideline: modifications in endoscopic practice for the elderly. *Gastrointest Endosc*. 2013; 78(1):1-7.
40. American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Modifications in endoscopic practice for pediatric patients. *Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*. 2014; 79(5):699-710.
41. American College of Surgeons (ACS). Statement on patient safety principles for office-based surgery utilizing moderate sedation/analgesia, deep sedation/analgesia, or general anesthesia. *Bull Am Coll Surg*. 2004; 89(4):32- 34.
42. American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA). ASA Physical Classification System. Available at: <http://www.asahq.org/resources/clinical-information/asa-physical-status-classification-system>. Accessed on July 08, 2019.
43. Joshi GP, Ankichetty SP, Gan TJ, Chung F. Society for Ambulatory Anesthesia consensus statement on preoperative selection of adult patients with obstructive sleep apnea scheduled for ambulatory surgery. *Anesth Analg*. 2012; 115(5):1060-1068
44. U.S. Preventive Services Task Force. Colorectal Cancer: Screening. Final Recommendation Statement. 2017 Available at: [https://www.giejournal.org/article/S0016-5107\(17\)31805-9/fulltext](https://www.giejournal.org/article/S0016-5107(17)31805-9/fulltext). Accessed on July 07, 2019.
45. American Society for Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Procedures and Treatments <https://www.asge.org/home/for-patients/procedures>. Accessed on July 08, 2019. Revised 08/22/2019 Medical Policy & Technology Assessment Committee (MPTAC) review.
46. Inpatient versus Outpatient Hip and Knee Arthroplasty: Which Has Higher Patient Satisfaction? Kelly, Mick, et.al. *Journal of Arthroplasty*;33(2018):3402-3406.
47. Patient Outcomes Following Total Joint Replacement Surgery: A Comparison of Hospitals and Ambulatory Surgery Centers. Carey, Kathleen, et.al. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 33(2020):7-11.
48. Same-Day Discharge Compared with Inpatient Hospitalization Following Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. Basques, Bryce, et.al. *Journal Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2017;99:1969-77.

49. The American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons, Hip Society, Knee Society, and American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Position Statement on Outpatient Joint Replacement. Meneghini R., et.al. *Journal of Arthroplasty* 33 (2018):3599-3601.
50. Mundi R, Axelrod DE, Najafabadi BT, Chamas B, Chaudhry H, Bhandari M. Early Discharge After Total Hip and Knee Arthroplasty—An Observational Cohort Study Evaluating Safety in 330,000 Patients. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2020;35(12):3482-3487.e3. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2020.06.092.
51. Kelly MP, Calkins TE, Culvern C, Kogan M, Della Valle CJ. Inpatient Versus Outpatient Hip and Knee Arthroplasty: Which Has Higher Patient Satisfaction? *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(11):3402-3406. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2018.07.025.
52. Meneghini RM, Ziemba-Davis M, Ishmael MK, Kuzma AL, Caccavallo P. Safe Selection of Outpatient Joint Arthroplasty Patients With Medical Risk Stratification: the “Outpatient Arthroplasty Risk Assessment Score.” *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2017;32(8):2325-2331. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2017.03.004.
53. Weiser MC, Kim KY, Anoushiravani AA, Iorio R, Davidovitch RI. Outpatient Total Hip Arthroplasty Has Minimal Short-Term Complications With the Use of Institutional Protocols. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(11):3502-3507. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2018.07.015
54. Backstein D, Thiagarajah S, Halawi MJ, Mont MA. Outpatient Total Knee Arthroplasty—The New Reality and How Can It Be Achieved? *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(12):3595-3598. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2018.09.042
55. Ziemba-Davis M, Caccavallo P, Meneghini RM. Outpatient Joint Arthroplasty—Patient Selection: Update on the Outpatient Arthroplasty Risk Assessment Score. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2019;34(7):S40-S43. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2019.01.007
56. Arshi A, Leong NL, D’Oro A, et al. Outpatient Total Knee Arthroplasty Is Associated with Higher Risk of Perioperative Complications. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2017;99(23):1978. 1986. doi:10.2106/jbjs.16.01332.
57. Carey K, Morgan JR, Lin M-Y, Kain MS, Creevy WR. Patient Outcomes Following Total Joint Replacement Surgery: A Comparison of Hospitals and Ambulatory Surgery Centers. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2019;35(1):7-11. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2019.08.041.
58. Basques BA, Tetreault MW, Della Valle CJ. Same-Day Discharge Compared with Inpatient Hospitalization Following Hip and Knee Arthroplasty. *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*. 2017;99(23):1969-1977. doi:10.2106/jbjs.16.00739.
59. Meneghini R, Gibson W, Halsey D, Padgett D, Berend K, Della Valle CJ. The American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons, Hip Society, Knee Society, and American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Position Statement on Outpatient Joint Replacement. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2018;33(12):3599-3601. doi:10.1016/j.arth.2018.10.029.
60. Schwartz AJ, Clarke HD, Sassoon A, Neville MR, Etzioni DA. The Clinical and Financial Consequences of the Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services’ Two-

Midnight Rule in Total Joint Arthroplasty. *The Journal of Arthroplasty*. 2019;35(1):1-6.e1.doi:10.1016/j.arth.2019.08.048

61. Place of Service Code Set | CMS. [www.cms.gov](http://www.cms.gov).

<https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Coding/place-of-service> codes/Place\_of\_Service\_Code\_Set